

## Stability challenges in converter-dominated networks

Prof Agustí Egea-Àlvarez

Professor at the University of Strathclyde Network Operational Performance Manager - ScottishPower Energy Networks

## Contents

- Introduction and Social Impact of Electrical Instabilities
- GSIM: Changes in Assessing Stability in Converter Dominated
  Networks
- SIF BLADE: Restoration Using Offshore Wind

 $\times$ 

## **Changes in the electric network**

- Power electronics converters (PECs) for renewable applications, and especially the control, are a legacy from the electrical drives **converters are only required to cater for their own operation**.
- Grid codes try to address some issues, but the existing control requirements and rules are not fully **updated to meet today's power network** operation and control.



Past: 100% Synchronous based system

Present: 60% Synchronous, 40 %Converter? 40% Synchronous, 60 %Converter? Future: 100% Converter based system???

**Power converters will soon be in charge of the operation and control of the network** –controlling frequency, voltage, transient/protection etc

We need to transition from the old (passive) electric drive-based controllers (current or vector control) also known as **grid following**, to a new (active) approach **grid forming** 

But also need to work alongside the legacy equipment (but this shouldn't be seen as a mortgage for the future power system)



Full report published Jan 2020: 9 August 2019 power outage report

## **Short Circuit Level and stability**

- The Short Circuit Ratio (SCR) has been used to identify problematic scenarios in traditional networks, such as weak networks
- Fault current provision links to physical impedance and gave a good idea of possible interactions in classic systems
- A high SCR results in a low impedance (short electrical distance and improved voltage strength)
- Not capable of representing converter response, which is not governed by converter impedance
- No dissemination between grid forming and following



$$P = \frac{3U(EX_n sin(\delta) + R_n(Ecos(\delta) - U))}{X_n^2 + R_n^2}$$

$$SCR = \frac{SCL_{MVA}}{S_{rated}}$$

## **Alternatives to SCR**

#### CSCR

Initially proposed by GE, Composite Short Circuit Ratio (CSCR) calculates the grid strength considering all electrically close converters

#### ESCR

The Equivalent Circuit Short Circuit Ratio (ESCR) is very similar to the traditional SCR, but now considers all physical impedances on the network

#### SCRIF

The short circuit ratio with interaction factors (SCRIF) looks to augment previous definitions of SCR with a component that captures voltage deviations

$$CSCR = \frac{CSC_{MVA}}{MW_{VER}}$$

$$ESCR = \frac{1}{Z_{sys,PU}} = Y_{sys,PU}$$

$$SCRIF_i = \frac{S_i}{P_i + \Sigma_j (IF_{ij} \times P_j)}$$

## Can we define a new SCR measure for stability to consider the converter impedance?

Henderson C, Egea-Alvarez A, Papadopoulos P, Li R, Xu L, Da Silva R, Kinsella A, Gutierrez I, Pabat-Stroe R. Exploring an impedance-based SCR for accurate representation of grid-forming converters. In2022 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM) 2022 Jul 17 (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

$$IF_{ij} = \frac{\Delta V_i}{\Delta V_j}$$

## **Grid Strength Impedance Metric (GSIM)**

- $Y_{sys}(s)$  is the full system admittance to be compared to the base network impedance  $Y_b(s)$
- Eigenvalues for 2x2 matrices similar to impedance-based stability
- Multiply eigenvalues of system admittance by base impedance
- Combine 2 eigenvalues into one rating with the same scale and meaning as SCR
- · It offers a black-box approach to identifying stability issues
- The GSIM frequency response might provide a clue of stability issues

#### **GSIM** and SCR are equivalent for conventional networks

$$SCR = \frac{Z_b}{Z_{sys}} \equiv GSIM(0Hz)$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{b}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{qq}(s) & Z_{qd}(s) \\ Z_{dq}(s) & Z_{dd}(s) \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{Y}_{sys}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{qq}(s) & Y_{qd}(s) \\ Y_{dq}(s) & Y_{dd}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda(\mathbf{Z}_{b}(s)) = \begin{bmatrix} \left| \lambda_{Z_{b,q}(s)} \right| \\ \left| \lambda_{Z_{b,d}(s)} \right| \end{bmatrix} \qquad \lambda(\mathbf{Y}_{sys}(s)) = \begin{bmatrix} \left| \lambda_{Y_{sys,q}(s)} \right| \\ \left| \lambda_{Y_{sys,d}(s)} \right| \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} GSIM_q(s) \\ GSIM_d(s) \end{bmatrix} = \lambda(\boldsymbol{Y}_{sys}(s) \odot \lambda(\boldsymbol{Z}_b(s))$$

$$GSIM(s) = \sqrt{\frac{GSIM_q(s)^2 + GSIM_d(s)^2}{2}}$$

Henderson C, Egea-Alvarez A, Kneuppel T, Yang G, <sup>7</sup>Xu L. Grid strength impedance metric: An alternative to SCR for evaluating system strength in converter dominated systems. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery. 2023 Jan 9;39(1):386-96.

### **Analysed controllers**



**Grid-Following Control** 

 $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{f}}$ Lf **i**<sub>cabc</sub>  $U_{abc}$ I<sub>cabc</sub> Gate signals θ **P**\* 1 s  $\omega_c$ Kon,P(S) θ lad 0 т(Ө) modulation Voltage **Grid Forming** I<sub>cqd</sub> т(Ө) Controller V<sub>cd</sub>  $u_{a}^{2}+u_{d}^{2}$ -U<sub>qd</sub> **Clark Transform** 0 U<sup>\*</sup> Kon, U(S) Vcq CONTROL SYSTEM

**Grid-Forming Control** 

## **Grid Strength Impedance Metric (GSIM)**



**GFM** 



$$Y_{sys1} = Y_{CT} + Y_G \qquad Y_{sys2} = Y_{VT} + Y_G$$

$$Y_{sys3} = Y_G + Y_{CT} + Y_{VT}$$

System	SCR	CSCR	ESCR	GSIM
Network	1	1	1	1
$(oldsymbol{Y}_{sys})$	3	3	3	3
GFL	2	1.93	1.93	1.65
$(oldsymbol{Y}_{sys1})$	6	5.42	5.42	5.61
GFM	2	1.93	1.93	4.42
$(oldsymbol{Y}_{sys2})$	6	5.42	5.42	7.17
Full	1	0.95	0.97	1.98
$(oldsymbol{Y}_{sys3})$	3	2.85	2.78	3.5

Comparison for equivalent calculation for different SCR (1 and 3) and different converter technologies.

• Note that for  $Y_{sys2}$  and  $Y_{sys1}$  as there is only one converter the SCR is double

0

C

C

## SIF BLADE Beta (2024-27)

**BESS** onshore

Research project to develop hardware in the loop demonstration of a black start from offshore wind for two Scottish locations

Derisk the future development of restoration from wind





## **AC connected offshore wind farm analysis**

#### Is there any optimal relationship between GFM and GFL for AC connected offshore wind farms?

- Modular approach fully vectorised to provide 2x2 dq-frame impedance
- Unique control structures and operating point on each turbine
- nL number of lines of nT number of turbines
- HVAC export cable as PI Line (HVDC Possible w/ MMC)



## AC-connected offshore wind farm stability analysis

Key Points	50 km	100 km	150 km
Critical	28 %	28 %	16 %
Penetration	(1.45)	(1.35)	(0.8)
Optimal	44 %	44 %	32 %
Penetration	(1.65)	(1.55)	(1.25)
Maximum	64 %	72 %	80 %
Penetration	(1.95)	(1.95)	(2.05)

- **Critical penetration** which the system is first stable
- Optimal penetration which the system has maximum stability and GSIM
- Maximum penetration, which is the point after which the stability of the system begins to decay rapidly



Henderson C, Egea-Alvarez A, Xu L. Analysis of optimal grid-forming converter penetration in AC connected offshore wind farms. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems. 2024 Jun 1;157:109851.

## **Questions?**

# University of Strathclyde Engineering